

THE ALGEBRAIC COMBINATORICS OF SNAKES

MATTHIEU JOSUAT-VERGÈS, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE NOVELLI,
AND JEAN-YVES THIBON

ABSTRACT. Snakes are analogues of alternating permutations defined for any Coxeter group. We study these objects from the point of view of combinatorial Hopf algebras, such as noncommutative symmetric functions and their generalizations. The main purpose is to show that several properties of the generating functions of snakes, such as differential equations or closed form as trigonometric functions, can be lifted at the level of noncommutative symmetric functions or free quasi-symmetric functions. The results take the form of algebraic identities for type B noncommutative symmetric functions, noncommutative supersymmetric functions and colored free quasi-symmetric functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Snakes, a term coined by Arnol'd [2], are generalizations of alternating permutations. These permutations arose as the solution of what is perhaps the first example of an inverse problem in the theory of generating functions: given a function whose Taylor series has nonnegative integer coefficients, find a family of combinatorial objects counted by those coefficients. For example, in the expansions

$$(1) \quad \tan z = \sum_{n \geq 0} E_{2n+1} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \quad \text{and} \quad \sec z = \sum_{n \geq 0} E_{2n} \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!},$$

the coefficients E_n are nonnegative integers.

It was found in 1881 by D. André [1] that E_n was the number of alternating permutations in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n .

Whilst this result is not particularly difficult and can be proved in several ways, the following explanation is probably not far from being optimal: there exists an associative (and noncommutative) algebra admitting a basis labelled by all permutations, and such that the map ϕ sending any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ to $\frac{z^n}{n!}$ is a homomorphism. In this algebra, the formal series

$$(2) \quad C = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \text{id}_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \text{id}_{2n+1}$$

(alternating sums of even and odd identity permutations) are respectively mapped to $\cos z$ and $\sin z$ by ϕ . The series C is clearly invertible, and one can see by a direct calculation that $C^{-1} + C \cdot S^{-1}$ is the sum of all alternating permutations [8].

Date: October 25, 2011.

M. Josuat-Vergès was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) via the grant Y463.

Such a proof is not only illuminating, it says much more than the original statement. For example, one can now replace ϕ by more complicated morphisms, and obtain generating functions for various statistics on alternating permutations.

The symmetric group is a Coxeter group, and snakes are generalizations of alternating permutations to arbitrary Coxeter groups. Such generalizations were first introduced by Springer [22]. For the infinite series A_n , B_n , D_n , Arnol'd [2] related the snakes to the geometry of bifurcation diagrams.

The aim of this article is to study the snakes of the classical Weyl groups (types A, B and D) by noncommutative methods, and to generalize the results to some series of wreath products (colored permutations).

The case of symmetric groups (type A) is settled by the algebra of Free quasi-symmetric functions **FQSym** (also known the Malvenuto-Reutenauer algebra) which is based on permutations, and its subalgebra **Sym** (noncommutative symmetric functions), based on integer compositions. To deal with the other types, we need an algebra based on signed permutations, and some of its subalgebras defined by means of the superization map introduced in [17].

After reviewing the necessary background and the above mentioned proof of the result of André, we recover results of Chow [4] on type B snakes, and derive some new generating functions for this type. This suggests a variant of the definition of snakes, for which the noncommutative generating series is simpler. These considerations lead us to some new identities satisfied by the superization map on noncommutative symmetric functions. Finally, we propose a completely different combinatorial model for the generating function of type B snakes, based on interesting identities in the algebra of signed permutations. We also present generalizations of (Arnol'd's) Euler-Bernoulli triangle, counting alternating permutations according to their last value, and extend the results to wreath products and to type D, for which we propose an alternative definition of snakes.

2. PERMUTATIONS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE TRIGONOMETRY

2.1. Free quasi-symmetric functions. The simplest way to define our algebra based on permutations is by means of the classical *standardization process*, familiar in combinatorics and in computer science. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite totally ordered alphabet. The *standardized word* $\text{Std}(w)$ of a word $w \in A^*$ is the permutation obtained by iteratively scanning w from left to right, and labelling $1, 2, \dots$ the occurrences of its smallest letter, then numbering the occurrences of the next one, and so on. Alternatively, $\sigma = \text{std}(w)^{-1}$ can be characterized as the unique permutation of minimal length such that $w\sigma$ is a nondecreasing word. For example, $\text{std}(bbacab) = 341625$.

We can now define polynomials

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{G}_\sigma(A) := \sum_{\text{std}(w)=\sigma} w.$$

It is not hard to check that these polynomials span a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}\langle A \rangle$, denoted by **FQSym**(A), an acronym for *Free Quasi-Symmetric functions*.

The multiplication rule is, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$,

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{G}_\alpha \mathbf{G}_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \alpha * \beta} \mathbf{G}_\gamma,$$

where $\alpha * \beta$ is the set of permutations $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+\ell}$ such that $\gamma = u \cdot v$ with $\text{std}(u) = \alpha$ and $\text{std}(v) = \beta$. This is the *convolution* of permutations (see [19]). Note that the number of terms in this product depends only on k and ℓ , and is equal to the binomial coefficient $\binom{k+\ell}{k}$. Hence, the map

$$(5) \quad \phi : \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mapsto \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is a homomorphism of algebras $\mathbf{FQSym} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z]$.

2.2. Noncommutative symmetric functions. The algebra $\mathbf{Sym}(A)$ of noncommutative symmetric functions over A is the subalgebra of \mathbf{FQSym} generated by the identity permutations [8, 6]

$$(6) \quad S_n(A) := \mathbf{G}_{12\dots n}(A) = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_n}.$$

These polynomials are obviously algebraically independent, so that the products

$$(7) \quad S^I := S_{i_1} S_{i_2} \dots S_{i_r}$$

where $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ runs over compositions of n , form a basis of \mathbf{Sym}_n , the homogeneous component of degree n of \mathbf{Sym} .

Recall that a descent of a word $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in A^n$ is an index i such that $w_i > w_{i+1}$. The set of such i is denoted by $\text{Des}(w)$. Hence, $S_n(A)$ is the sum of all nondecreasing words of length n (no descent), and $S^I(A)$ is the sum of all words which may have a descents only at places from the set

$$(8) \quad \text{Des}(I) = \{i_1, i_1 + i_2, \dots, i_1 + \dots + i_{r-1}\},$$

called the descent set of I . Another important basis is

$$(9) \quad R_I(A) = \sum_{\text{Des}(w)=\text{Des}(I)} w = \sum_{\text{Des}(\sigma)=\text{Des}(I)} \mathbf{G}_\sigma,$$

the ribbon basis, formed by sums of words having descents exactly at prescribed places. From this definition, it is obvious that if $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_s)$

$$(10) \quad R_I(A) R_J(A) = R_{IJ}(A) + R_{I \triangleright J}(A)$$

with $IJ = (i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s)$ and $I \triangleright J = (i_1, \dots, i_r + j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s)$.

2.3. Operations on alphabets. If B is another totally ordered alphabet, we denote by $A + B$ the ordinal sum of A and B . This allows to define noncommutative symmetric functions of $A + B$, and

$$(11) \quad S_n(A + B) = \sum_{i=0}^n S_i(A) S_{n-i}(B) \quad (S_0 = 1).$$

If we assume that A and B commute, this operation defines a coproduct, for which **Sym** is a graded bialgebra, hence a Hopf algebra. The same is true of **FQSym**. Symmetric functions of the virtual alphabet $(-A)$ are defined by the condition

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n(-A) = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} S_n(A) \right)^{-1}$$

and more generally, for a difference $A - B$,

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n(A - B) = \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} S_k(B) \right)^{-1} \sum_{l \geq 0} S_l(A)$$

(note the reversed order, see [13] for detailed explanations).

2.4. Noncommutative trigonometry.

2.4.1. *André's theorem.* One can now define “noncommutative trigonometric functions” by

$$(14) \quad \mathbf{cos}(A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n S_{2n}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{sin}(A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n S_{2n+1}(A).$$

The image by ϕ of these series are the usual trigonometric functions. With the help of the product formula for the ribbon basis, it is easy to see that

$$(15) \quad \mathbf{sec} := \mathbf{cos}^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} R_{(2^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{tan} := \mathbf{cos}^{-1} \mathbf{sin} = \sum_{n \geq 0} R_{(2^{n1})}$$

which implies André's theorem: the coefficient of $\frac{z^n}{n!}$ in $\mathbf{sec}(z) + \mathbf{tan}(z)$ is the number of alternating permutations of \mathfrak{S}_n (if we choose to define alternating permutations as those of shape (2^n) and $(2^n 1)$). In **FQSym**,

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{sec} + \mathbf{tan} = \sum_{\sigma \text{ alternating}} \mathbf{G}_\sigma.$$

2.4.2. *Differential equations.* If ∂ is the derivation of **Sym** such that $\partial S_n = S_{n-1}$, then

$$(17) \quad X = \mathbf{tan} = \sum_{m \geq 0} R_{(2^m 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \mathbf{sec} = \sum_{m \geq 0} R_{(2^m)}$$

satisfy the differential equations

$$(18) \quad \partial X = 1 + X^2, \quad \partial Y = XY.$$

These equations can be lifted to **FQSym**, actually to its subalgebra **PBT**, the Loday-Ronco algebra of planar binary trees (see [9] for details). Solving them in this algebra provides yet another combinatorial proof of André's result.

Let us sketch it for the tangent. The original proof of André relied upon the differential equation

$$(19) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = 1 + x^2$$

whose $x(t) = \tan(t)$ is the solution such that $x(0) = 0$. Equivalently, $x(t)$ is the unique solution of the functional equation

$$(20) \quad x(t) = t + \int_0^t x(s)^2 ds$$

which can be solved by iterated substitution.

In general, given an associative algebra R , we can consider the functional equation for the power series $x \in R[[t]]$

$$(21) \quad x = a + B(x, x)$$

where $a \in R$ and $B(x, y)$ is a bilinear map with values in $R[[t]]$, such that the valuation of $B(x, y)$ is strictly greater than the sum of the valuations of x and y . Then, Equation (21) has a unique solution

$$(22) \quad x = a + B(a, a) + B(B(a, a), a) + B(a, B(a, a)) + \dots = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{CBT}} B_T(a)$$

where \mathbf{CBT} is the set of (complete) binary trees, and for a tree T , $B_T(a)$ is the result of evaluating the expression formed by labeling by a the leaves of T and by B its internal nodes. Pictorially,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a + B(a, a) + B(B(a, a), a) + B(a, B(a, a)) + \dots \\ &= a + \begin{array}{c} B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad a \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \begin{array}{c} B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad a \end{array} \quad a \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad \begin{array}{c} B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ a \quad a \end{array} \end{array} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

It is proved in [9] that if one defines

$$(23) \quad \partial \mathbf{G}_\sigma := \mathbf{G}_{\sigma'}$$

where σ' is obtained from σ by erasing its maximal letter n , then ∂ is a derivation of \mathbf{FQSym} . Its restriction to \mathbf{Sym} coincides obviously with the previous definition. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_k$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$, and $n = k + \ell$, set

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{G}_\alpha, \mathbf{G}_\beta) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma = u(n+1)v \\ \text{std}(u) = \alpha, \text{std}(v) = \beta}} \mathbf{G}_\gamma.$$

Clearly,

$$(25) \quad \partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{G}_\alpha, \mathbf{G}_\beta) = \mathbf{G}_\alpha \mathbf{G}_\beta,$$

and our differential equation for the noncommutative tangent is now replaced by the fixed point problem

$$(26) \quad X = \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{B}(X, X).$$

of which it is the unique solution. Again, solving it by iterations gives back the sum of alternating permutations. As an element of the Loday-Ronco algebra, \mathbf{tan} appears as the sum of all permutations whose decreasing tree is complete.

The same kind of equation holds for Y :

$$(27) \quad Y = 1 + \mathbf{B}(X, Y).$$

Hence, the noncommutative secant **sec** is therefore an element of **PBT**, so a sum of binary trees. The trees are well-known: they correspond to complete binary trees (of odd size) where one has removed the last leaf.

2.5. Derivative polynomials. For the ordinary tangent and secant, the differential equations imply the existence [11] of two sequences of polynomials P_n, Q_n such that

$$(28) \quad \frac{d^n}{dz^n}(\tan z) = P_n(\tan z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^n}{dz^n}(\sec z) = Q_n(\tan z) \sec z.$$

Since ∂ is a derivation of **Sym**, we have as well for the noncommutative lifts

$$(29) \quad \partial^n(X) = P_n(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^n(Y) = Q_n(X)Y.$$

Hoffman [11] gives the exponential generating functions

$$(30) \quad P(u, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(u) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\sin t + u \cos t}{\cos t - u \sin t} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(u, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_n(u) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{\cos t - u \sin t}.$$

The noncommutative version of these identities can be readily derived as follows. We want to compute

$$(31) \quad P(X, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{t\partial} X.$$

Since ∂ is a derivation, $e^{t\partial}$ is an automorphism of **Sym**. It acts on the generators S_n by

$$(32) \quad e^{t\partial} S_n(A) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n-k}(A) \frac{t^k}{k!} = S_n(A + t\mathbb{E})$$

where $t\mathbb{E}$ is the “virtual alphabet” such that $S_n(t\mathbb{E}) = \frac{t^n}{n!}$. Hence,

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} P(X, t) &= \mathbf{tan}(A + t\mathbb{E}) = \mathbf{cos}(A + t\mathbb{E})^{-1} \mathbf{sin}(A + t\mathbb{E}) \\ &= (\cos t - X \sin t)^{-1} (\sin t + X \cos t) \end{aligned}$$

as expected. Similarly,

$$(34) \quad Q_n(X, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n!} = (e^{t\partial} Y) Y^{-1}$$

$$(35) \quad = \mathbf{cos}(A + t\mathbb{E})^{-1} \mathbf{cos}(A) = (\cos t - X \sin t)^{-1}.$$

3. THE UNIFORM DEFINITION OF SNAKES FOR COXETER GROUPS

Before introducing the relevant generalizations of **Sym** and **FQSym**, we shall comment on the definitions of snakes and alternating permutations for general Coxeter groups.

It is apparent in Springer’s article [22] that alternating permutations can be defined in a uniform way for any Coxeter group. Still, little attention has been given to this fact. For example, 20 years later, Arnol’d [2] gives separately the definitions of snakes of type A, B and D , even though there is no doubt that he was aware of the uniform

definition. The goal of this section is to give some precisions and to simplify the proof of Springer's result in [22].

Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system. Recall that $s \in S$ is a descent of $w \in W$ if $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ where ℓ is the length function. When $J \subset S$, we denote by \mathcal{D}_J the *descent class* defined as $\{w \in W : \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \Leftrightarrow s \in J\}$. Following Arnol'd, let us consider the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *The Springer number of the Coxeter system (W, S) is*

$$(36) \quad K(W) := \max_{J \subseteq S} (\#\mathcal{D}_J).$$

The aim of [22] is to give a precise description of sets J realizing this maximum. The result is as follows:

Theorem 3.2 (Springer [22]). *Let $J \subseteq S$. Then, $K(W) = \#\mathcal{D}_J$ if and only if J and $S \setminus J$ are independent subsets of the Coxeter graph S (i.e., they contain no two adjacent vertices). In particular, there are two such subsets J which are complementary to each other.*

Therefore we can choose a subset J such that $K(W) = \#\mathcal{D}_J$ and call *snakes* of W the elements of \mathcal{D}_J . The other choice $S \setminus J$ would essentially lead to the same objects, since there is a simple involution on W exchanging the subsets \mathcal{D}_J and $\mathcal{D}_{S \setminus J}$.

We are thus led to the following definition:

Definition 3.3. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group, and J be a maximal independent subset of S . The snakes of (W, S) are the elements of the descent class \mathcal{D}_J .*

This definition depends on the choice of J , so that we can consider two families of snakes for each W . In the case of alternating permutations, these are usually called the up-down and down-up permutations, and are respectively defined by the conditions

$$(37) \quad \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 > \sigma_3 < \dots \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_1 > \sigma_2 < \sigma_3 > \dots$$

It is natural to endow a descent class with the restriction of the weak order, and this defines what we can call the *snake poset* of (W, S) . Known results show that this poset is a lattice [3]. Let us now say a few words about the proof of Theorem 3.2, which relies upon the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 (Springer [22]). *Let $J \subseteq S$, and assume that there is an edge e of the Coxeter graph whose endpoints are both in J or both not in J . Let $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ be the connected components obtained after removing e . Let $J' = (S_1 \cap J) \cup (S_2 \cap (S \setminus J))$. Then, $\#\mathcal{D}_J < \#\mathcal{D}_{J'}$.*

Using the above lemma, we see that if J or complementary is not independent, we can find another subset J' having a strictly bigger descent class, and Theorem 3.2 then follows. Whereas Lemma 3.4 is the last one out of a series of 5 lemmas in Springer's article, we give here a simple geometric argument.

Let R be a root system for (W, S) , and let $\Pi = (\alpha_s)_{s \in S}$ be a set of simple roots. There is a bijection $w \mapsto i(w)$ between W and the set of Weyl chambers, so that $s \in S$

is a descent of $w \in W$ if and only if $i(w)$ lies in the half-space $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, \alpha_s \rangle \geq 0\}$. For any $J \subset S$, let

$$(38) \quad C_J = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, \alpha_s \rangle \geq 0 \text{ if } s \in J, \text{ and } \langle v, \alpha_s \rangle \leq 0 \text{ if } s \notin J\}.$$

It is the closure of the union of Weyl chambers $i(w)$ where $w \in \mathcal{D}_J$. Now, let e , S_1 , S_2 and J' be as in the lemma, and let $x \in S_1$ and $y \in S_2$ be the endpoints of e . Note that either x or y is in J' but not both. Let σ be the orthogonal symmetry through the linear span of $\{\alpha_j : j \in S_1\}$. We claim that $\sigma(C_J) \subsetneq C_{J'}$, and this implies $\#\mathcal{D}_J < \#\mathcal{D}_{J'}$ since C_J contains strictly less Weyl chambers than $C_{J'}$.

So it remains to show that $\sigma(C_J) \subsetneq C_{J'}$. It is convenient to use the notion of *dual cone*, which is defined for any closed convex cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$(39) \quad C^* := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } w \in C\}.$$

The map $C \mapsto C^*$ is an inclusion-reversing involution on closed convex cones, and it commutes with any linear isometry, so that we have to prove that $\sigma(C_{J'}^*) \subsetneq C_J^*$. Since $(C_1 \cap C_2)^* = C_1^* + C_2^*$ and since the dual of the half-space $\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0\}$ is the half-line $\mathbb{R}^+ w$, the dual of $C_{J'}$ is

$$(40) \quad C_{J'}^* = \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} u_s \alpha_s : u_s \geq 0 \text{ if } s \in J', \text{ and } u_s \leq 0 \text{ if } s \notin J' \right\},$$

and the same holds for C_J^* . A description of $\sigma(C_{J'}^*)$ is obtained easily by linearity since $\sigma(\alpha_s) = \alpha_s$ if $s \in S_1$, $\sigma(\alpha_s) = -\alpha_s$ if $s \in S_2 \setminus y$, and $\sigma(\alpha_y) = -\alpha_y + 2\langle \alpha_x, \alpha_y \rangle \langle \alpha_x, \alpha_x \rangle^{-1} \alpha_x$. Indeed, let $v = \sum_{s \in S} u_s \alpha_s \in C_{J'}^*$, we have:

$$(41) \quad \sigma(v) = \sum_{s \in S_1} u_s \alpha_s - \sum_{s \in S_2 \setminus y} u_s \alpha_s - u_y \alpha_y + 2u_y \langle \alpha_x, \alpha_y \rangle \langle \alpha_x, \alpha_x \rangle^{-1} \alpha_x.$$

Since u_x and u_y have different signs and $\langle \alpha_x, \alpha_y \rangle < 0$, we obtain $\sigma(v) \in C_J^*$. We have thus proved $\sigma(C_{J'}^*) \subset C_J^*$. To show the strict inclusion, note that either α_y or $-\alpha_y$ is in C_J^* . But none of these two elements is in $\sigma(C_{J'}^*)$, because in the above formula for $\sigma(v)$, if $u_y \neq 0$ there is a nonzero term in α_x as well. This completes the proof.

4. SIGNED PERMUTATIONS AND COMBINATORIAL HOPF ALGEBRAS

Whereas the constructions of the Hopf algebras **Sym**, **PBT**, and **FQSym** appearing when computing the usual tangent are almost straightforward, the situation is quite different in type B . First, there are at least three different generalizations of **Sym** to a pair of alphabets, each with its own qualities either combinatorial or algebraic. Moreover, there are also two different ways to generalize **FQSym**. The generalizations of **PBT** are not (yet) defined in the literature but the computations done in the present paper give a glimpse of what they should be.

Here follows how they embed each in the other. All embeddings are embeddings of Hopf algebras except the two embeddings concerning **BSym** which is not itself an algebra. However, the embedding of **Sym**($A|\bar{A}$) into **Sym**⁽²⁾ obtained by composing the two previous embeddings is a Hopf embedding:

$$(42) \quad \mathbf{Sym}(A|\bar{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BSym} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Sym}^{(2)} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{FQSym}(A|\bar{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}.$$

4.1. The Mantaci-Reutenauer algebra of type B . The most straightforward definition of \mathbf{Sym} in type B is to generalize the combinatorial objects involved in the definition: change compositions into signed compositions.

We denote by $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)} = \mathbf{MR}$ [15] the free product $\mathbf{Sym} \star \mathbf{Sym}$ of two copies of the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions. In other words, \mathbf{MR} is the free associative algebra on two sequences (S_n) and $(S_{\bar{n}})$ ($n \geq 1$). We regard the two copies of \mathbf{Sym} as noncommutative symmetric functions on two auxiliary alphabets: $S_n = S_n(A)$ and $S_{\bar{n}} = S_n(\bar{A})$. We denote by $F \mapsto \bar{F}$ the involutive automorphism¹ which exchanges S_n and $S_{\bar{n}}$. And we denote the generators of $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$ by $S_{(k,\epsilon)}$ where $\epsilon = \{\pm 1\}$, so that $S_{(k,1)} = S_k$ and $S_{(k,-1)} = S_{\bar{k}}$.

4.2. Noncommutative supersymmetric functions. The second generalization of \mathbf{Sym} comes from the transformation of alphabets sending A to a combination of A and \bar{A} . It is the algebra containing the type B alternating permutations.

We define $\mathbf{Sym}(A|\bar{A})$ as the subalgebra of $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$ generated by the $S_n^\#$ where, for any $F \in \mathbf{Sym}(A)$,

$$(43) \quad F^\# = F(A|\bar{A}) = F(A - q\bar{A})|_{q=-1},$$

called the supersymmetric version, or superization, of F [17].

The expansion of an element of $\mathbf{Sym}(A|\bar{A})$ as a linear combination in $\mathbf{Sym}^{(2)}$ is done thanks to generating series. Indeed,

$$(44) \quad \sigma_1^\# = \bar{\lambda}_1 \sigma_1 = \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \bar{\Lambda}_k \right) \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} S_m \right)$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}_k = \sum_{I \models k} (-1)^{\ell(I)-k} \bar{S}^I$, as follows from $\bar{\lambda}_1 = (\bar{\sigma}_{-1})^{-1}$ (see [13]). For example,

$$(45) \quad S_1^\# = S^1 + S^{\bar{1}}, \quad S_2^\# = S^2 + S^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} - S^{\bar{2}} + S^{\bar{1}\bar{1}},$$

$$(46) \quad S_3^\# = S^3 + S^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + S^{\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} - S^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} + S^{\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}} - S^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} - S^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + S^{\bar{3}}.$$

4.3. Noncommutative symmetric functions of type B . The third generalization of \mathbf{Sym} is not an algebra but only a cogeбра but is the generalization one gets with respect to the group B_n : its graded dimension is 2^n and, as we shall see later in this paragraph, a basis of \mathbf{BSym} is given by sums of permutations having given descents *in the type B sense*. This algebra contains the snakes of type B .

Noncommutative symmetric functions of type B were introduced in [4] as the right \mathbf{Sym} -module \mathbf{BSym} freely generated by another sequence (\tilde{S}_n) ($n \geq 0$, $\tilde{S}_0 = 1$) of homogeneous elements, with $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ grouplike. This is a coalgebra, but not an algebra.

¹This differs from the convention used in some references.

We embed **BSym** as a sub-coalgebra and right sub-**Sym**-module of **MR** as follows. The basis element \tilde{S}^I of **BSym**, where $I = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_r)$ is a B -composition (that is, i_0 may be 0), can be embedded as

$$(47) \quad \tilde{S}^I = S_{i_0}(A) S^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}(A | \bar{A}).$$

In the sequel, we identify **BSym** with its image under this embedding.

As in **Sym**, one can define by triangularity the analog of the ribbon basis ([4]):

$$(48) \quad \tilde{S}^I = \sum_{J \leq I} \tilde{R}_J,$$

where $J \leq I$ if the B -descent set of J is a subset of the B -descent set of I . Note that we have in particular $\tilde{S}^{0n} = \tilde{R}_{0n} + \tilde{R}_n$.

Note also that, thanks to that definition, $S^{I^\#} = \tilde{S}^I$ and, thanks to the transitions between all bases,

$$(49) \quad R_I^\# = \tilde{R}_{0I} + \tilde{R}_I.$$

4.4. Type B permutations and descents in B_n . The hyperoctahedral group B_n is the group of signed permutations. A signed permutation can be denoted by $w = (\sigma, \epsilon)$ where σ is an ordinary permutation and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, such that $w(i) = \epsilon_i \sigma(i)$. If we set $w(0) = 0$, then, $i \in [0, n-1]$ is a B -descent of w if $w(i) > w(i+1)$. Hence, the B -descent set of w is a subset $D = \{i_0, i_0 + i_1, \dots, i_0 + \dots + i_{r-1}\}$ of $[0, n-1]$. We then associate with D the type- B composition $(i_0 - 0, i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}, n - i_{r-1})$.

4.5. Free quasi-symmetric functions of level 2. Let us now move to generalizations of **FQSym**. As in the case of **Sym**, the most natural way is to change the usual alphabet into two alphabets, one of positive letters and one of negative letters and to define a basis indexed by signed permutations as a realization on words on both alphabets. This algebra is **FQSym**⁽²⁾, the algebra of free quasi-symmetric functions of level 2, as defined in [16].

Let us set

$$(50) \quad A^{(0)} = A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\},$$

$$(51) \quad A^{(1)} = \bar{A} = \{\bar{a}_1 < \bar{a}_2 < \dots < \bar{a}_n < \bar{a}_1\},$$

and order $\mathbf{A} = \bar{A} \cup A$ by $\bar{a}_i < a_j$ for all i, j . Let us also denote by std the standardization of signed words with respect to this order.

We shall also need the *signed standardization* **Std**, defined as follows. Represent a signed word $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{A}^n$ by a pair (w, ϵ) , where $w \in A^n$ is the underlying unsigned word, and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ is the vector of signs. Then $\mathbf{Std}(w, \epsilon) = (\text{std}(w), \epsilon)$.

Then, **FQSym**⁽²⁾ is spanned by the polynomials in $A \cup \bar{A}$

$$(52) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\sigma, u} := \sum_{w \in \mathbf{A}^n; \mathbf{Std}(w) = (\sigma, u)} w \in \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle.$$

Let (σ', u') and (σ'', u'') be signed permutations. Then (see [16, 17])

$$(53) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\sigma', u'} \mathbf{G}_{\sigma'', u''} = \sum_{\sigma \in \sigma' * \sigma''} \mathbf{G}_{\sigma, u' \cdot u''}.$$

We denote by $m(\epsilon)$ the number of entries -1 in ϵ .

4.6. Free super-quasi-symmetric functions. The second algebra generalizing the algebra \mathbf{FQSym} is $\mathbf{FQSym}(A|\bar{A})$. It comes from the transformation of alphabets applied to \mathbf{FQSym} as $\mathbf{Sym}(A|\bar{A})$ comes from \mathbf{Sym} . To do this, we first need to recall that $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ is equipped with an internal product.

Indeed, viewing signed permutations as elements of the group $\{\pm 1\} \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have the internal product

$$(54) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\alpha, \epsilon} * \mathbf{G}_{\beta, \eta} = \mathbf{G}_{(\beta, \eta) \circ (\alpha, \epsilon)} = \mathbf{G}_{\beta \circ \alpha, (\eta \alpha) \cdot \epsilon},$$

with $\eta \alpha = (\eta_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, \eta_{\alpha(n)})$ and $\epsilon \cdot \eta = (\epsilon_1 \eta_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \eta_n)$.

We can now embed \mathbf{FQSym} into $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ by

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\sigma} \mapsto \mathbf{G}_{(\sigma, 1^n)},$$

which allows us to define

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\sigma}^{\#} := \mathbf{G}_{\sigma}(A|\bar{A}) = \mathbf{G}_{\sigma} * \sigma_1^{\#},$$

so that $\mathbf{FQSym}(A|\bar{A})$ is the algebra spanned by the $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma}(A|\bar{A})$.

Theorem 4.1 ([17], Thm. 3.1). *The expansion of $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma}(A|\bar{A})$ on the basis $\mathbf{G}_{\tau, \epsilon}$ is*

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\sigma}(A|\bar{A}) = \sum_{\text{std}(\tau, \epsilon) = \sigma} \mathbf{G}_{\tau, \epsilon}.$$

4.6.1. Embedding $\mathbf{Sym}^{\#}$ and \mathbf{BSym} into $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$. One can embed \mathbf{BSym} into $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ as one embeds \mathbf{Sym} into \mathbf{FQSym} (see [4]) by

$$(58) \quad \tilde{R}_I = \sum_{\text{Bdes}(\pi) = I} \mathbf{G}_{\pi},$$

where I is any B -composition.

Given Equation (49) relating $R_I^{\#}$ and the \tilde{R}_I , one has

$$(59) \quad R_I^{\#} = \sum_{\text{Des}(\pi) = I} \mathbf{G}_{\pi},$$

where I is any (usual) composition.

5. ALGEBRAIC THEORY IN TYPE B

5.1. Alternating permutations of type B .

5.1.1. Alternating shapes. Let us say that a signed permutation $\pi \in B_n$ is *alternating* if $\pi_1 < \pi_2 > \pi_3 < \dots$ (shape 2^m or $2^m 1$).

Here are the alternating permutations of type B for $n \leq 4$:

$$(60) \quad \bar{1}, 1, \quad 12, \bar{1}2, \bar{2}1, \bar{2}\bar{1}$$

$$(61) \quad 12\bar{3}, \bar{1}2\bar{3}, 132, 13\bar{2}, \bar{1}32, \bar{1}3\bar{2}, \bar{2}1\bar{3}, \bar{2}\bar{1}\bar{3}, 231, 23\bar{1}, \bar{2}31, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, \bar{3}1\bar{2}, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{2}, \bar{3}21, \bar{3}2\bar{1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 12\bar{3}4, \bar{1}2\bar{3}4, 12\bar{4}\bar{3}, 12\bar{4}\bar{3}, \bar{1}2\bar{4}\bar{3}, 1324, 13\bar{2}4, \bar{1}324, \bar{1}3\bar{2}4, 13\bar{4}\bar{2}, 13\bar{4}\bar{2}, \\
& \bar{1}3\bar{4}\bar{2}, \bar{1}3\bar{4}\bar{2}, 1423, 14\bar{2}\bar{3}, \bar{1}423, \bar{1}4\bar{2}\bar{3}, 14\bar{3}\bar{2}, 14\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{1}4\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{1}4\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{2}1\bar{3}4, \bar{2}1\bar{3}4, \\
& \bar{2}1\bar{4}\bar{3}, \bar{2}1\bar{4}\bar{3}, \bar{2}1\bar{4}\bar{3}, \bar{2}1\bar{4}\bar{3}, 2314, 23\bar{1}4, \bar{2}314, \bar{2}3\bar{1}4, 23\bar{4}\bar{1}, 23\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{2}3\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{2}3\bar{4}\bar{1}, \\
(62) \quad & 2413, 24\bar{1}\bar{3}, \bar{2}413, \bar{2}4\bar{1}\bar{3}, 24\bar{3}\bar{1}, 24\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{2}4\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{2}4\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{3}1\bar{2}4, \bar{3}1\bar{2}4, \bar{3}1\bar{4}\bar{2}, \bar{3}1\bar{4}\bar{2}, \\
& \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}\bar{2}, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}\bar{2}, \bar{3}214, \bar{3}2\bar{1}4, \bar{3}2\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{3}2\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{3}2\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{3}2\bar{4}\bar{1}, 3412, 34\bar{1}\bar{2}, \bar{3}412, \bar{3}4\bar{1}\bar{2}, \\
& 34\bar{2}\bar{1}, 34\bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{3}4\bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{3}4\bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{4}1\bar{2}\bar{3}, \bar{4}1\bar{2}\bar{3}, \bar{4}1\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{4}1\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{4}1\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{4}1\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{4}213, \bar{4}2\bar{1}\bar{3}, \\
& \bar{4}2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{4}2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{4}2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{4}2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{4}312, \bar{4}3\bar{1}\bar{2}, \bar{4}3\bar{2}\bar{1}, \bar{4}3\bar{2}\bar{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, π is alternating iff $\bar{\pi}$ is a β -snake in the sense of [2]. Hence, the sum in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ of all \mathbf{G}_π labeled by alternating signed permutations is, as already proved in [4]

$$(63) \quad \mathbf{X} = (X + Y)^\# = \mathbf{sec}^\# + \mathbf{tan}^\# = \mathbf{sec}^\#(1 + \mathbf{sin}^\#) = \sum_{m \geq 0} (R_{(2^m)}^\# + R_{(2^{m1})}^\#).$$

5.1.2. *Quasi-differential equations.* Let d be the linear map acting on \mathbf{G}_π as follows:

$$(64) \quad d\mathbf{G}_\pi = \begin{cases} \mathbf{G}_{uv} & \text{if } \pi = unv, \\ \mathbf{G}_{u\bar{v}} & \text{if } \pi = u\bar{n}v. \end{cases}$$

This map lifts to $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ the derivation ∂ of (23), although it is not itself a derivation. We then have

Theorem 5.1. *The series \mathbf{X} satisfies the quasi-differential equation*

$$(65) \quad d\mathbf{X} = 1 + \mathbf{X}^2.$$

Proof – Indeed, let us compute what happens when applying d to $R_{(2^m)}^\#$, the property being the same with $dR_{(2^{m1})}^\#$. Let us fix a permutation σ of shape (2^m) . If n appears in σ , let us write $\sigma = unv$. Then dG_σ appears in the product $\mathbf{G}_{\text{Std}(u)}\mathbf{G}_{\text{Std}(v)}$ and u and v are of respective shapes (2^n1) and (2^{m-n-1}) . If \bar{n} appears in σ , let us write again $\sigma = u\bar{n}v$. Then dG_σ appears in the product $\mathbf{G}_{\text{Std}(u)}\mathbf{G}_{\text{Std}(\bar{v})}$ and u and \bar{v} are of respective shapes (2^n) and $(2^{m-n-1}1)$. Conversely, any permutation belonging to $u*v$ with u and v of shapes (2^n1) and (2^{m-n-1}) has a shape (2^m) if one adds n in position $2n+2$. The same holds for the other product, hence proving the statement. ■

This is not enough to characterize \mathbf{X} but we have the analog of fixed point equation (26)

$$(66) \quad \mathbf{X} = 1 + \mathbf{G}_1 + B(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}),$$

where

$$(67) \quad B(\mathbf{G}_\alpha, \mathbf{G}_\beta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\gamma=u(n+1)v, \text{Std}(u)=\alpha, \text{Std}(v)=\beta} \mathbf{G}_\gamma & \text{if } |\alpha| \text{ is odd,} \\ \sum_{\gamma=u(\overline{n+1})\bar{v}, \text{Std}(u)=\alpha, \text{Std}(v)=\beta} \mathbf{G}_\gamma & \text{if } |\alpha| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, applying d to the fixed point equation brings back Equation (65) and it is clear from the definition of B that all terms in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{G}_\alpha, \mathbf{G}_\beta)$ are alternating signed permutations.

Solving this equation by iterations gives back the results of [12, Section 4]. Indeed, the iteration of Equation (66) yields the solution

$$(68) \quad \mathbf{X} = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{CBT}} B_T(\mathbf{G}_0 = 1, \mathbf{G}_1),$$

where, for a tree T , $B_T(a, b)$ is the result of the evaluation of all expressions formed by labeling by a or b the leaves of T and by B its internal nodes. This is indeed the same as the polynomials P_n defined in [12, Section 4] since one can interpret the \mathbf{G}_0 leaves as empty leaves in this setting, the remaining nodes then corresponding to all increasing trees of the same shape, as can be seen on the definition of the operator B .

5.1.3. *Alternating signed permutations counted by number of signs.* Under the specialization $\bar{A} = tA$, \mathbf{X} goes to the series

$$(69) \quad X(t; A) = \sum_I \left(\sum_{\pi \text{ alternating, } C(\text{std}(\pi))=I} t^{m(\pi)} \right) R_I(A)$$

where $m(\pi)$ is the number of negative letters of π . If we further set $A = z\mathbb{E}$, we obtain

$$(70) \quad x(t, z) = \frac{1 + \sin((1+t)z)}{\cos((1+t)z)}$$

which reduces to

$$(71) \quad x(1, z) = \frac{1 + \sin 2z}{\cos 2z} = \frac{\cos z + \sin z}{\cos z - \sin z}$$

for $t = 1$, thus giving a t -analogue different from the one of [12].

5.1.4. *A simple bijection.* From (70), we have

$$(72) \quad \sum_n z^n \sum_{\pi \text{ alternating in } B_n} t^{m(\pi)} = \sec((1+t)z) + \tan((1+t)z).$$

But another immediate interpretation of the series in the right-hand side is

$$(73) \quad \sum_n z^n \sum_{\pi \text{ s.t. } |\pi| \text{ is alternating in } A_n} t^{m(\pi)} = \sec((1+t)z) + \tan((1+t)z).$$

It is thus in order to give a bijection proving the equality of the generating functions. Let π be an alternating signed permutation. We can associate with π the pair $(\text{std}(\pi), \epsilon)$ where ϵ is the sign vector such that $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $\pi^{-1}(i) > 0$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ otherwise. The image of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by π is $\{\epsilon_i i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Since π can be recovered from $\text{std}(\pi)$ and the image of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, this map is a bijection between signed alternating permutations and pairs (σ, ϵ) where σ is alternating and ϵ is a sign vector. Then, with such a pair (σ, ϵ) , one can associate a signed permutation τ such that $|\tau|$ is alternating simply by taking $\tau_i = \sigma_i \epsilon_i$. The composition $\pi \mapsto (\sigma, \epsilon) \mapsto \tau$ gives the desired bijection.

For example, here follow the 16 permutations obtained by applying the bijection to the 16 alternating permutations of size 3 (see Equation (61)):

$$(74) \quad 23\bar{1}, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, 132, \bar{1}32, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, 2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, 23\bar{1}, \bar{1}32, \bar{1}\bar{3}2, \bar{1}3\bar{2}, \bar{1}\bar{3}2, \bar{1}3\bar{2}, \bar{1}3\bar{2}.$$

5.2. Type B snakes.

5.2.1. *An alternative version.* The above considerations suggest a new definition of type B snakes, which is a slight variation of the definition of [2]. We want to end up with the generating series

$$(75) \quad y(1, z) = \frac{1}{\cos z - \sin z} = \frac{\cos z + \sin z}{\cos 2z}$$

after the same sequence of specializations. A natural choice, simple enough and given by a series in **BSym**, is to set

$$(76) \quad \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{cos} + \mathbf{sin}) \cdot \mathbf{sec}^\# = \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k (S_{2k} + S_{2k+1}) \right) \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} R_{2n}^\#.$$

Now, \mathbf{Y} lives in **BSym** and expands in the ribbon basis \tilde{R} of **BSym** as

$$(77) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k (\tilde{R}_{2k} + \tilde{R}_{2k+1}) \right) \sum_{n \geq 0} R_{2n}^\# \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (R_{2n}^\# + \tilde{R}_{12n} + \tilde{R}_{32n}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 1; n \geq 0} (-1)^k (\tilde{R}_{2k2n} + \tilde{R}_{2k+22n-1} + \tilde{R}_{2k+12n} + \tilde{R}_{2k+32n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (R_{2n}^\# + \tilde{R}_{12n} + \tilde{R}_{32n}) - \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{2n+1} + \tilde{R}_{32n}) \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies into

$$(78) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{12n} + \tilde{R}_{02n+1}).$$

In **FQSym**⁽²⁾, this is the sum of all \mathbf{G}_π such that

$$(79) \quad \begin{cases} 0 > \pi_1 < \pi_2 > \dots & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 < \pi_1 > \pi_2 < \dots & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, for n odd, π is exactly a B_n -snake in the sense of [2], and for n even, $\bar{\pi}$ is a B_n -snake. Clearly, the number of sign changes or of minus signs in snakes and in these modified snakes are related in a trivial way so we have generating series for both statistics in all cases.

Here are these modified snakes for $n \leq 4$:

$$(80) \quad 1, \quad \bar{1}2, \bar{2}1, \bar{2}\bar{1},$$

$$(81) \quad \bar{1}\bar{2}3, \bar{1}32, \bar{1}\bar{3}2, 213, \bar{2}\bar{1}3, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, \bar{2}3\bar{1}, 312, \bar{3}\bar{1}2, \bar{3}2\bar{1}, \bar{3}2\bar{1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(82) \quad & \bar{1}2\bar{3}4, \bar{1}24\bar{3}, \bar{1}24\bar{3}, \bar{1}324, \bar{1}3\bar{2}4, \bar{1}34\bar{2}, \bar{1}42\bar{3}, \bar{1}4\bar{2}3, \bar{1}4\bar{3}2, \bar{1}43\bar{2}, \bar{2}1\bar{3}4, \\
& \bar{2}1\bar{3}4, \bar{2}14\bar{3}, \bar{2}14\bar{3}, \bar{2}14\bar{3}, \bar{2}314, \bar{2}3\bar{1}4, \bar{2}34\bar{1}, \bar{2}34\bar{1}, \bar{2}413, \bar{2}4\bar{1}3, \bar{2}4\bar{3}1, \\
& \bar{2}4\bar{3}1, \bar{3}1\bar{2}4, \bar{3}1\bar{2}4, \bar{3}14\bar{2}, \bar{3}14\bar{2}, \bar{3}14\bar{2}, \bar{3}214, \bar{3}2\bar{1}4, \bar{3}24\bar{1}, \bar{3}24\bar{1}, \bar{3}24\bar{1}, \\
& \bar{3}24\bar{1}, \bar{3}412, \bar{3}4\bar{1}2, \bar{3}42\bar{1}, \bar{3}42\bar{1}, \bar{4}1\bar{2}3, \bar{4}1\bar{2}3, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}213, \\
& \bar{4}2\bar{1}3, \bar{4}2\bar{3}1, \bar{4}2\bar{3}1, \bar{4}2\bar{3}1, \bar{4}2\bar{3}1, \bar{4}312, \bar{4}3\bar{1}2, \bar{4}32\bar{1}, \bar{4}32\bar{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

5.2.2. *Snakes as particular alternating permutations.* Note that in the previous definition, snakes are not alternating permutations for odd n . So, instead, let us consider the generating series

$$(83) \quad \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{cos} \cdot \mathbf{sec}^\# + \overline{\mathbf{sin}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{sec}}^\#,$$

where $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ is the involution of $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ inverting the signs of permutations.

Expanding \mathbf{Y} in the \tilde{R} basis, one gets

$$(84) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{02^n 1} + \tilde{R}_{02^{n+1}}).$$

As for type B alternating permutations (see Equation (65)), the series Y satisfies a differential equation with the same linear map d as before (see Equation (64)):

$$(85) \quad d\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}.$$

It is then easy to see that \mathbf{Y} also satisfies a fixed point equation similar to (26):

$$(86) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + B(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}).$$

The iteration of (86) brings up a solution close to (68):

$$(87) \quad \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{CBT}} B_T(\mathbf{G}_0 = 1, \mathbf{G}_1),$$

where, for a tree T , $B_T(a, b)$ is now the result of the evaluation of all expressions formed by labeling by a or b the leaves of T and by B its internal nodes. Note that in this case, the first leaf needs to have label a . This is the same as the trees defined in [12, Section 4] since one can again interpret the \mathbf{G}_0 leaves as empty leaves in this setting, the remaining nodes then corresponding to all increasing trees of the same shape.

5.2.3. *Snakes from [2].* The generating series of the snakes of [2], also in \mathbf{BSym} is

$$(88) \quad \overline{\mathbf{cos}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{sec}}^\# + \mathbf{sin} \cdot \mathbf{sec}^\#,$$

and can be written as

$$(89) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{12^n} + \tilde{R}_{12^{n+1}})$$

on the ribbon basis.

The lift of the differential equation for $y(1)$ is given by a map δ similar to d , with $\delta unv = \bar{u}v$ and $\delta u\bar{n}v = u\bar{v}$. Then

$$(90) \quad \delta \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}$$

and we have a fixed point equation

$$(91) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + \hat{B}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})$$

for an appropriate bilinear map \hat{B} .

6. ANOTHER COMBINATORIAL MODEL

6.1. An analogue of $\cos z - \sin z$ in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$.

Definition 6.1. A signed permutation $\pi \in B_n$ is a valley-signed permutation if, for any $i \in [n]$, $\pi(i) < 0$ implies that

- either $i > 2$, and $\pi_{i-1} > 0$, and $|\pi_{i-2}| > \pi_{i-1} < |\pi_i|$,
- or $i = 2$, and $0 < \pi_1 < |\pi_2|$.

We denote by \mathcal{V}_n the set of valley-signed permutations of size n .

Here are these signed permutations, up to $n = 4$:

$$(92) \quad 1, \quad 12, \bar{1}\bar{2}, 21,$$

$$(93) \quad 123, \bar{1}\bar{2}3, 132, \bar{1}\bar{3}2, 213, 21\bar{3}, 231, 2\bar{3}1, 312, 31\bar{2}, 321,$$

$$(94) \quad \begin{aligned} &1234, \bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}4, 1243, \bar{1}\bar{2}43, 1324, 132\bar{4}, \bar{1}\bar{3}24, \bar{1}\bar{3}2\bar{4}, 1342, \bar{1}\bar{3}42, 1423, 142\bar{3}, \\ &\bar{1}\bar{4}23, \bar{1}\bar{4}2\bar{3}, 1432, \bar{1}\bar{4}32, 2134, 21\bar{3}4, 2143, 21\bar{4}3, 2314, 231\bar{4}, 2\bar{3}14, 2\bar{3}1\bar{4}, \\ &2341, 2\bar{3}41, 2413, 241\bar{3}, 2\bar{4}13, 2\bar{4}1\bar{3}, 2431, 2\bar{4}31, 3124, 31\bar{2}4, 3142, 31\bar{4}2, \\ &3214, 321\bar{4}, 3241, 32\bar{4}1, 3412, 341\bar{2}, 3\bar{4}12, 3\bar{4}1\bar{2}, 3421, 3\bar{4}21, 4123, 41\bar{2}3, \\ &4132, 41\bar{3}2, 4213, 421\bar{3}, 4231, 42\bar{3}1, 4312, 431\bar{2}, 4321 \end{aligned}$$

The terminology is explained by the following remark. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and let us examine how to build a valley-signed permutation π so that $\pi_i = \pm\sigma_i$. It turns out that for each valley $\sigma(i-1) > \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$, we can choose independently the sign of $\pi(i+1)$ (here $1 \leq i < n$ and 1 is a valley if $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2)$).

The goal of this section is to obtain the noncommutative generating functions for the sets \mathcal{V}_n .

Theorem 6.2. *The following series*

$$(95) \quad U = 1 - [\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}} - \mathbf{G}_{12\bar{3}} - \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}3\bar{4}} + \mathbf{G}_{12\bar{3}4\bar{5}} + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}3\bar{4}5\bar{6}} + \dots]$$

is again a lift of $\cos z - \sin z$ in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$. It satisfies

$$(96) \quad U^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}_n} \mathbf{G}_\pi.$$

Hence the π occurring in this expansion are in bijection with snakes of type B.

This result is a consequence of the next two propositions.

Definition 6.3. Let $\mathcal{R}_{2n} \subset B_{2n}$ be the set of signed permutations π of size $2n$ such that $|\pi|$ is of shape 2^n , and for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\pi(i) > 0$ iff i is odd. Let

$$(97) \quad V = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{2n}} \mathbf{G}_\pi = \mathbf{G}_\epsilon + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}} + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{3}2\bar{4}} + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{4}2\bar{3}} + \dots$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{2n+1} \subset B_{2n+1}$ be the set of signed permutations π of size $2n+1$ such that $|\pi|$ is of shape 12^n , $\pi_1 > 0$, and for any $2 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\pi(i) > 0$ iff i is even. Let

$$(98) \quad W = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}_{2n+1}} \mathbf{G}_\pi = \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_{21\bar{3}} + \mathbf{G}_{31\bar{2}} + \mathbf{G}_{21\bar{4}3\bar{5}} + \dots$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_n$. Clearly, $\#\mathcal{R}_n$ is the number of alternating permutations of \mathfrak{S}_n since, given $|\pi|$, there is only one possible choice for the signs of each π_i . So V and W respectively lift \sec and \tan in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$. Now, given that the product rule of the \mathbf{G}_σ does not affect the signs, a simple adaptation of the proof of the A_n case shows:

Proposition 6.4. *We have*

$$(99) \quad V^{-1} = 1 - \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}} + \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}3\bar{4}} - \mathbf{G}_{1\bar{2}3\bar{4}5\bar{6}} + \dots,$$

$$(100) \quad WV^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_1 - \mathbf{G}_{12\bar{3}} + \mathbf{G}_{12\bar{3}4\bar{5}} - \mathbf{G}_{12\bar{3}4\bar{5}6\bar{7}} + \dots$$

■

Note that $U = (1 - W)V^{-1}$. So, to complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to show:

Proposition 6.5. *We have*

$$(101) \quad V(1 - W)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}_n} \mathbf{G}_\pi.$$

Proof – We can write $V(1 - W)^{-1} = V + VW + VW^2 + \dots$, and expand everything in terms of the \mathbf{G}_π , using their product rule (see Equation (53)). We obtain a sum of $\mathbf{G}_{u_1 \dots u_k}$ where $\mathbf{Std}(u_1) \in \mathcal{R}_{2*}$ and $\mathbf{Std}(u_i) \in \mathcal{R}_{2*+1}$ for any $i \geq 2$ (where $*$ is any integer). The sum is *a priori* over lists (u_1, \dots, u_k) such that $u = u_1 \dots u_k \in B_n$. Actually, if the words u_1, \dots, u_k satisfy the previous conditions, then u is in \mathcal{V}_n . Indeed, the first two letters of each u_i are not signed, each u_i is a valley-signed permutation, which implies that u itself is a valley-signed permutation.

Conversely, it remains to show that this factorization exists and is unique for any $u \in \mathcal{V}_n$. First, observe that in $u = u_1 \dots u_k$, u_k is the only suffix of odd length having the same signs as an element of \mathcal{R}_{2*+1} (since the first two letters are positive and the other alternate in signs, it cannot be itself a strict suffix of an element of \mathcal{R}_{2*+1}). So the factorization can be obtained by scanning u from right to left. ■

Let

$$(102) \quad Z := \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}} - \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}2} - \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}2\bar{3}} + \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}2\bar{3}4} + \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}2\bar{3}4\bar{5}} - \mathbf{G}_{\bar{1}2\bar{3}4\bar{5}6} + \dots$$

Precisely, the n -th term in this expansion is the permutation σ of B_n such that, $|\sigma| = id$, $\sigma(1) = \bar{1}$, $\sigma(2) = 2$ and, for $3 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma(i) < 0$ iff $n - i$ is even. The sign

corresponds to the sign of z^n in the expansion of $\cos z + \sin z - 1$, so that it is a lift of $\cos z + \sin z - 1$ in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$.

Theorem 6.6. *The series ZU^{-1} is a sum of \mathbf{G}_π without multiplicities in the Hopf algebra $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$. Hence the π occurring in this expansion are in bijection with snakes of type D (see Section 9).*

Moreover, the series $(1 + Z)U^{-1}$ is also a sum of \mathbf{G}_π without multiplicities in the Hopf algebra $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$. Hence the π occurring in this expansion are in bijection with alternating permutations of type B .

Here are the elements of ZU^{-1} , up to $n = 4$:

$$(103) \quad \bar{1}, \quad \bar{2}1,$$

$$(104) \quad \bar{2}13, \bar{2}1\bar{3}, \bar{3}12, \bar{3}1\bar{2}, \bar{3}21,$$

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} &\bar{2}1\bar{3}4, \bar{2}134, \bar{2}1\bar{4}3, \bar{2}143, \bar{3}1\bar{2}4, \bar{3}124, \bar{3}1\bar{4}2, \bar{3}142, \bar{3}21\bar{4}, \bar{3}214, \bar{3}2\bar{4}1, \bar{3}241, \\ &\bar{4}1\bar{2}3, \bar{4}123, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}132, \bar{4}21\bar{3}, \bar{4}213, \bar{4}2\bar{3}1, \bar{4}231, \bar{4}31\bar{2}, \bar{4}312, \bar{4}321. \end{aligned}$$

Proof – Let ε_i be the linear operator sending a word w to the word w' where w' is obtained from w by sending its i th letter to its opposite and not changing the other letters.

Then if one writes $1 + Z = E + O$ as a sum of an even and an odd series, one has

$$(106) \quad E = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 V^{-1} \quad O = \varepsilon_1(WV^{-1}).$$

Since $\varepsilon_i(ST) = \varepsilon_i(S)T$ if S contains only terms of size at least i , an easy rewriting shows that

$$(107) \quad (1 + Z)V = V + \varepsilon_1(W) + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2(1 - V).$$

Then, one gets

$$(108) \quad (1 + Z)V(1 - W)^{-1} = U^{-1} + \varepsilon_1(W + \varepsilon_2(1 - V))(1 - W)^{-1}.$$

So it only remains to prove that $Q = \varepsilon_1(W + \varepsilon_2(1 - V))(1 - W)^{-1}$ has only positive terms and has no term in common with U^{-1} . This last fact follows from the fact that all terms in Q have a negative number as first value. Let us now prove that Q has only positive terms. First note that $W + \varepsilon_2(1 - V)$ is an alternating sum of permutations of shapes 2^n and 12^n . Hence, any permutation of shape 2^n can be associated with a permutation of shape 12^{n-1} by removing its first entry and standardizing the corresponding word. Now, all negative terms $-\mathbf{G}_v \mathbf{G}_w$ come from $-\varepsilon_2(V)(1 - W)^{-1}$ and are annihilated by the term $\mathbf{G}_{v'} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{G}_w$ where v' is obtained from v by the removal-and-standardization process described before. \blacksquare

6.2. Another proof of Theorem 6.2. Let \mathbf{Sg}_n be the group algebra of $\{\pm 1\}^n$. We identify a tuple of signs with a word in the two symbols $1, \bar{1}$, and the direct sum of the \mathbf{Sg}_n with the free associative algebra on these symbols.

We can now define the algebra of *signed noncommutative symmetric functions* as

$$(109) \quad \mathbf{sSym} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{Sym}_n \otimes \mathbf{Sg}_n$$

endowed with the product

$$(110) \quad (f \otimes u) \cdot (g \otimes v) = fg \otimes uv.$$

It is naturally embedded in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(2)}$ by

$$(111) \quad R_I \otimes u = \sum_{C(\sigma)=I} \mathbf{G}_{\sigma,u}.$$

With this at hand, writing $U = P - Q$ with

$$(112) \quad P = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m R_{2m} \otimes (1\bar{1})^m \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m R_{2m+1} \otimes 1(1\bar{1})^m,$$

it is clear that

$$(113) \quad P^{-1} = \sum_{m \geq 0} R_{(2^m)} \otimes (1\bar{1})^m = V \quad \text{and} \quad W = \sum_{m \geq 0} R_{(12^m)} \otimes 1(1\bar{1})^m = QV,$$

so that $U = (1 - W)V^{-1}$, and $U^{-1} = V(1 - W)^{-1}$ can now be computed by observing that

$$(114) \quad (1 - W)^{-1} = \sum_I R_I \otimes p_I(1, \bar{1})$$

where p_I is the sum of words in $1, \bar{1}$ obtained by associating with each tiling of the ribbon shape of I by tiles of shapes $(1, 2^{m_1}, \dots, 1, 2^{m_k})$ the word $1(1\bar{1})^{m_1} \dots 1(1\bar{1})^{m_k}$. For example, $p_{12} = 111 + 11\bar{1}$, for there are two tilings, one by three shapes (1) and one by (12) . The proof of Theorem 6.6 can be reformulated in the same way.

6.3. Some new identities in \mathbf{BSym} . Let us have a closer look at the map $\bar{A} \mapsto tA$.

By definition, $R_I(A|tA) = R_I((1 - q)A)|_{q=-t}$, so that the generating series for one-part compositions is

$$(115) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} R_n((1 - q)A)x^n = \sigma_x((1 - q)A) = \lambda_{-qx}(A)\sigma_x(A).$$

One can now expand this formula in different bases. Tables are given in Section 10.

6.3.1. Image of the \tilde{R} in the S basis of \mathbf{Sym} . The first identity gives the image of a ribbon in the S basis:

$$(116) \quad R_I(A|tA) = \sum_J (-1)^{l(I)+l(J)} (1 - (-t)^{j_r}) (-t)^{\sum_{A(I,J)} j_k} S^J$$

where $A(I, J) = \{p | j_1 + \dots + j_p \notin \text{Des}(I)\}$. Indeed, expanding $\lambda_{-qx}(A)$ on the basis S^J , one finds

$$(117) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} R_n((1-q)A)x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \left(\sum_{k+m=n} (-q)^k \sum_{K \models k} (-1)^{k-\ell(K)} S^K S_m \right)$$

Each composition of a given n occurs twice in the sum, so that

$$(118) \quad R_n((1-q)A) = \sum_{J \models n} (-1)^{1-\ell(J)} (q^{n-j_r} - q^n) S^J.$$

Hence, Equation (116) is true for one-part compositions. The general case follows by induction from the product formula

$$(119) \quad R_I R_j = R_{Ij} + R_{I \triangleright j}.$$

Now, as for the \tilde{R} , we have

Proposition 6.7. *Let I be a type- B composition and set $I =: (i_0, I')$. Then the expansion of the $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ on the $S^J(A)$ is*

$$(120) \quad \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(I') + n} t^n S^n + \sum_{J \in C_1} (-1)^{\ell(I) + \ell(J)} (1 - (-t)^{j_r}) (-t)^{\sum_{A(I,J)} j_k} S^J & \text{if } i_0 = 0, \\ (-1)^{\ell(I) + 1} S^n + \sum_{J \in C_2} (-1)^{\ell(I) + \ell(J)} (1 - (-t)^{j_r}) (-t)^{\sum_{A(I,J)} j_k} S^J & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where C_2 is the set of compositions of n different from (n) whose first part is a sum of any prefix of I , and C_1 the set complementary to C_2 in all compositions of n different from (n) .

For example, with $I = (1321)$, $C_2(I)$ is the set of compositions of 7 whose first part is either 1, 4, or 6.

Proof – By induction on the length of I . First, $R_n(A, tA) = R_n(A) = S_n(A)$ and

$$(121) \quad \tilde{R}_n(A, tA) = R_n(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R}_{0n}(A, tA) = R_n(A|tA) - R_n(A).$$

Now, the formula $\tilde{R}_I R_j = \tilde{R}_{Ij} + \tilde{R}_{I \triangleright j}$ together with Equation (116) implies the general case. ■

Note that this also means that one can compute the matrices recursively. Indeed, if one denotes by $K_0(n)$ (resp. $K_1(n)$) the matrix expanding the $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ where $i_0 = 0$ (resp. $i_0 \neq 0$) on the S^J , one has the following structure:

$$(122) \quad (K_0(n+1) \ K_1(n+1)) = \begin{pmatrix} -tK_0(n) & tK_0(n) & K_1(n) & -K_1(n) \\ t(K_0(n) + K_1(n)) & 0 & 0 & K_0(n) + K_1(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

6.3.2. *Image of the \tilde{R} in the Λ basis of **Sym**.* Expanding Equation (115) on the basis Λ^J , the same reasoning gives as well

$$(123) \quad R_I(A|tA) = \sum_J (-1)^{n+1+l(I)+l(J)} (1 - (-t)^{j_1}) (-t)^{\sum_{k \in A'(I,J)} j_k} \Lambda^J$$

where $A'(I, J) = \{\ell | j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell-1} \in \text{Des}(I)\}$.

Now, as for the \tilde{R} , we have

Proposition 6.8. *Let I be a type- B composition and set $I =: (i_0, I')$. Then the expansion of the $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ on the $\Lambda^J(A)$ is*

$$(124) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_J (-1)^{n+l(I')+l(J)} (-t)^{j_1 + \sum_{k \in A'(I',J)} j_k} \Lambda^J & \text{if } i_0 = 0, \\ \sum_J (-1)^{n+1+l(I')+l(J)} (-t)^{\sum_{k \in A'(I',J)} j_k} \Lambda^J & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that this is coherent with the fact that $0 \in \text{Des}(I)$ if $i_0 = 0$.

Proof – The proof is again by induction of the length of I following the same steps as in the expansion on the S . ■

Again, one can compute the matrices recursively. If one denotes by $L_0(n)$ (resp. $L_1(n)$) the matrix expanding the $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ where $i_0 = 0$ (resp. $i_0 \neq 0$) on the Λ^J , one has the following structure:

$$(125) \quad (L_0(n+1) \ L_1(n+1)) = \begin{pmatrix} tL_0(n) & -tL_0(n) & -L_1(n) & L_1(n) \\ tL_1(n) & tL_0(n) & L_1(n) & L_0(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.3.3. *Image of the \tilde{R} in the R basis of **Sym**.* The expansion of $R_I(A|tA)$ has been discussed in [13] and [17]. Recall that a *peak* of a composition is a cell of its ribbon diagram having no cell to its right nor on its top (compositions with one part have by convention no peaks) and that a *valley* is a cell having no cell to its left nor at its bottom.

The formula is the following:

$$(126) \quad R_I(A|tA) = \sum_J (1+t)^{v(J)} t^{b(I,J)} R_J(A),$$

where the sum is over all compositions J such that I has either a peak or a valley at each peak of J . Here $v(J)$ is the number of valleys of J and $b(I, J)$ is the number of values d such that, either d is a descent of J and not a descent of I , or $d-1$ is a descent of I and not a descent of J .

In the case of the \tilde{R} , the matrices satisfy a simple induction. If one denotes by $M_0(n)$ (resp. $M_1(n)$) the matrix expanding the $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ where $i_0 = 0$ (resp. $i_0 \neq 0$) on the R , one has the following structure which follows directly from the interpretation in terms of signed permutations:

$$(127) \quad (M_0(n+1) \ M_1(n+1)) = \begin{pmatrix} tM_1(n) & tM_0(n) & M_1(n) & M_0(n) \\ t(M_0(n)+M_1(n)) & 0 & 0 & M_0(n)+M_1(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For example, one can check this result on Figure 3. One then recovers the matrix of $R_I(A|tA)$ on the R as $M_0 + M_1$.

7. EULER-BERNOULLI TRIANGLES

7.1. Alternating permutations of type B . Counting ordinary (type A) alternating permutations according to their last value yields the Euler-Bernoulli triangle, sequence A010094 or A008281 of [20] depending on whether one requires a rise or a descent at the first position.

The same can be done in type B for alternating permutations and snakes. Since usual snakes begin with a descent, we shall count type B permutations of ribbon shape 2^m or $2^m 1$ according to their last value. We then get the table

$n \backslash p$	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1						1	0	1					
2					0	1	0	1	2				
(128) 3				4	4	3	0	3	2	0			
4			0	4	8	11	0	11	14	16	16		
5		80	80	76	68	57	0	57	46	32	16	0	
6	0	80	160	236	304	361	0	361	418	464	496	512	512

Proposition 7.1. *The table counting type B alternating permutations by their last value is obtained by the following algorithm: first separate the picture by the column $p = 0$ and then compute two triangles. Put 1 at the top of each triangle and compute the rest as follows: fill the second row of the left (resp. right) triangle as the sum of the elements of the first row (resp. strictly) to their left. Then fill the third row of the right (resp. left) triangle as the sum of the elements of the previous row (resp. strictly) to their right. Compute all rows successively by reading from left to right and right to left alternatively.*

This is the analogue for alternating permutations of Arnol'd's construction for snakes of type B [2].

Proof – Let $S(n, p)$ be the set of alternating permutations of B_n ending with p .

The proof is almost exactly the same as for type A , with one exception: it is obvious that $S(n, 1) = S(n, -1)$. Since the reading order changes from odd rows to even rows, let us assume that n is even and consider both sets $S(n, p)$ and $S(n, p-1)$. The natural injective map of $S(n, p-1)$ into $S(n, p)$ is simple: exchange $p-1$ with p while leaving the possible sign in place. The elements of $S(n, p)$ that were not obtained previously are the permutations ending by $p-1$ followed by p . Now, removing $p-1$ and relabeling the remaining elements in order to get a type B permutation, one gets elements that are in bijection with elements of either $S(n-1, p)$ or $S(n-1, p-1)$, depending on the sign of p . ■

7.2. Snakes of type B . The classical algorithm computing the number of type B snakes (also known as Springer numbers, see Sequence A001586 of [20]) makes use of the double Euler-Bernoulli triangle.

Proposition 7.2. *The table counting snakes of type B by their last value is obtained by the following algorithm: first separate the picture by the column $p = 0$ and then compute two triangles. Put 1 at the top of the left triangle and 0 at the top of the right one and compute the rest as follows: fill the second row of the left (resp. right) triangle as the sum of the elements of the first row (resp. strictly) to their left. Then fill the third row of the right (resp. left) triangle as the sum of the elements of the previous row (resp. strictly) to their right. Compute all rows successively by reading from left to right and right to left alternatively.*

Here are the first rows of both triangles:

$$(129) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccccccccc} n \backslash p & -6 & -5 & -4 & -3 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 1 & & & & & & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\ 2 & & & & & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 3 & & & & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ 4 & & & 0 & 3 & 6 & 8 & 8 & 10 & 11 & 11 & & \\ 5 & & 57 & 57 & 54 & 48 & 40 & 40 & 32 & 22 & 11 & 0 & \\ 6 & 0 & 57 & 114 & 168 & 216 & 256 & 256 & 296 & 328 & 350 & 361 & 361 \end{array}$$

Proof – The proof is essentially the same as in the case of alternating permutations of type B : it amounts to a bijection between a set of snakes on the one side and two sets of snakes on the other side. ■

One also sees that each row of the triangles of the alternating type B permutations presented in Equation (128) can be obtained, up to reversal, by adding or subtracting the mirror image of the left triangle to the right triangle. For example, on the fifth row, the sums are $40 + 40 = 80$, then $48 + 32 = 80$, then $54 + 22 = 76$, then $57 + 11 = 68$, and $57 + 0 = 57$; the differences are $57 - 0 = 57$, then $57 - 11 = 46$, then $54 - 22 = 32$, then $48 - 32 = 16$, and $40 - 40 = 0$. These properties follow from the induction patterns.

These numerical properties indicate that one can split alternating permutations ending with $(-1)^{n-1}i$ into two sets and obtain alternating permutations beginning with $(-1)^n i$ by somehow taking the "difference" of these two sets. On the alternating permutations, the construction can be as follows: assume that n is even. If $p > 1$, the set $S(n, p)$ has a natural involution I without fixed points: change the sign of ± 1 in permutations.

Then define two subsets of $S(n, p)$ by

$$(130) \quad \begin{aligned} S'(n, p) &= \{\sigma \in S(n, p) \mid \sigma_{n-1} < -p \text{ or } -1 \in \sigma\}, \\ S''(n, p) &= \{\sigma \in S(n, p) \mid \sigma_{n-1} > -p \text{ and } -1 \notin \sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $S'(n, p) \cup S''(n, p)$ is $S(n, p)$ and $S'(n, p)/I(S''(n, p))$ is $S(n, -p)$ up to the sign of the first letter of each element. In the special case $p = -1$, both properties still hold, even without the involution since S'' is empty.

Let us illustrate this with the example $n = 4$ and $p = 2$. We then have:

$$(131) \quad S(4, 2) = \{13\bar{4}2, \bar{1}3\bar{4}2, 14\bar{3}2, \bar{1}4\bar{3}2, \bar{3}1\bar{4}2, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}2, 3412, 34\bar{1}2, \bar{3}412, \bar{3}4\bar{1}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{3}2, \bar{4}312, \bar{4}3\bar{1}2\},$$

$$(132) \quad S'(4, 2) = \{13\bar{4}2, \bar{1}3\bar{4}2, 14\bar{3}2, \bar{1}4\bar{3}2, \bar{3}1\bar{4}2, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}2, 34\bar{1}2, \bar{3}4\bar{1}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{3}2, \bar{4}3\bar{1}2\},$$

$$(133) \quad S''(4, 2) = \{3412, \bar{3}412, \bar{4}312\},$$

so that $S'(4, 2) \cup S''(4, 2) = S(4, 2)$ and

$$(134) \quad S'(4, 2)/I(S''(4, 2)) = \{13\bar{4}2, \bar{1}3\bar{4}2, 14\bar{3}2, \bar{1}4\bar{3}2, \bar{3}1\bar{4}2, \bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}2, \bar{4}1\bar{3}2, \bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{3}2\},$$

which is $S(4, -2)$ up to the sign of the first letter of each permutation.

8. EXTENSION TO MORE THAN TWO COLORS

8.1. Augmented alternating permutations. Let

$$(135) \quad \mathbf{A} = A^{(0)} \sqcup A^{(1)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup A^{(r-1)} = A \times C,$$

with $C = \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ be an r -colored alphabet. We assume that $A^{(i)} = A \times \{i\}$ is linearly ordered and that

$$(136) \quad A^{(0)} > A^{(1)} > \dots > A^{(r-1)}.$$

Colored words can be represented by pairs $\mathbf{w} = (w, u)$ where $w \in A^n$ and $u \in C^n$. We define r -colored alternating permutations $\sigma = (\sigma, u)$ by the condition

$$(137) \quad \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 > \sigma_3 < \dots$$

hence, as permutations of shape 2^n or $2^n 1$ as words over \mathbf{A} . Let $A_n^{(r)}$ be the set of such permutations. Their noncommutative generating series in $\mathbf{FQSym}^{(r)}$ is then

$$(138) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in A_n^{(r)}} \mathbf{G}_\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} R_{2^m}(\mathbf{A}) + R_{2^m 1}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Thus, if we send $A^{(i)}$ to $q_i \mathbb{E}$, the exponential generating function of the polynomials

$$(139) \quad \alpha_n(q_0, \dots, q_{r-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in A_n^{(r)}} \prod_{i=1}^n q_{u_i}$$

is

$$(140) \quad \alpha(z; q_0, \dots, q_{r-1}) = \frac{\sin(q_0 + \dots + q_{r-1})z + 1}{\cos(q_0 + \dots + q_{r-1})z}.$$

Iterating the previous constructions, we can define generalized snakes as colored alternating permutations such that $\sigma_1 \in A^{(0)}$, or, more generally $\sigma_1 \in A^{(0)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup A^{(i)}$.

Setting $B_i = A^{(0)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A^{(i)}$ and $\overline{B}_i = A^{(i+1)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A^{(r-1)}$, we have for these permutations the noncommutative generating series

$$(141) \quad \mathbf{Y}(B_i, \overline{B}_i) = (\mathbf{cos} + \mathbf{sin})(B_i) \mathbf{sec}(B_i | \overline{B}_i)$$

which under the previous specialization yields the exponential generating series

$$(142) \quad y_i(t; q_0, \dots, q_{r-1}) = \frac{\cos(q_0 + \cdots + q_i)t + \sin(q_{i+1} + \cdots + q_{r-1})t}{\cos(q_0 + \cdots + q_{r-1})t}.$$

Setting all the q_i equal to 1, we recover sequences A007286 and A007289 for $r = 3$ and sequence A006873 for $r = 4$ of [20], counting what the authors of [7] called *augmented alternating permutations*.

8.2. Triangles of alternating permutations with r colors. One can now count alternating permutations of shapes 2^k and $2^k 1$ by their last value. With $r = 3$, the following tables present the result:

n	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{5}$	1	2	3	4	5
1	1					1					1				
2	0	1				1	2				2	3			
3	9	9	8			8	7	5			5	3	0		
4	0	9	18	26		26	34	41	46		46	51	54	54	
5	405	405	396	378	352	352	326	292	251	205	205	159	108	54	0

Proposition 8.1. *The table counting alternating permutations with r colors by their last value is obtained by the following algorithm: first separate the picture by the column $p = 0$ and then compute r triangles. Put 1 at the top of each triangle and compute the rest as follows: fill the second row of all triangles as the sum of the elements of the first row strictly to their left. Then fill the third row of all triangles as the sum of the elements of the previous row to their right. Compute all rows successively by reading from left to right and right to left alternatively.*

Proof – Same argument as for Propositions 7.1 and 7.2. ■

Applying the same rules to the construction of three triangles but with only one 1 at the top of one triangle gives the following three tables. Note that this amounts to split the alternating permutations, first by the number of bars of their *first* element, then, inside the triangle, by their *last* value.

n	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{5}$	1	2	3	4	5
1	1					0					0				
2	0	1				1	1				1	1			
3	5	5	4			4	3	2			2	1	0		
4	0	5	10	14		14	18	21	23		23	25	26	26	
5	205	205	200	190	176	176	162	144	123	100	100	77	52	26	0

	n	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	1	2	3	4	5
	1	0					1					0				
(145)	2	0	0				0	1				1	1			
	3	3	3	3			3	3	2			2	1	0		
	4	0	3	6	9		9	12	15	17		17	19	20	20	
	5	147	147	144	138	129	129	120	108	93	76	76	59	40	20	0

	n	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	1	2	3	4	5
	1	0					0					1				
(146)	2	0	0				0	0				0	1			
	3	1	1	1			1	1	1			1	1	0		
	4	0	1	2	3		3	4	5	6		6	7	8	8	
	5	53	53	52	50	47	47	44	40	35	29	29	23	16	8	0

Arnol'd [2] has found remarkable arithmetical properties of the Euler-Bernoulli triangles. The study of the properties of these new triangles remains to be done.

9. SNAKES OF TYPE D

9.1. The triangle of type D snakes. The Springer numbers of type D (Sequence A007836 of [20]) are given by exactly the same process as for type B Springer numbers, but starting with 0 at the top of the left triangle and 1 at the top of the right triangle. Since all operations computing the rows of the triangles are linear in the first entries, we have in particular that the sum of the number of snakes of type D_n and the number of snakes on type B_n is equal to the number of alternating permutations of type B .

We have even more information related to the triangles: both B and D triangles can be computed by taking the difference between the triangle of Equation (128) and of Equation (129). We obtain

	n/p	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3	4	5	6
	1						0	1					
	2					0	0	0	1				
(147)	3				1	1	1	1	1	0			
	4			0	1	2	3	3	4	5	5		
	5		23	23	22	20	17	17	14	10	5	0	
	6	0	23	46	68	88	105	105	122	136	146	151	151

9.1.1. Snakes of type D . From our other sets having the same cardinality as type B snakes, we can deduce combinatorial objects having same cardinality as type D snakes by taking the complement in the alternating permutations of type B .

Since the generating series of type B alternating permutations is

$$(148) \quad \mathbf{X} = \sum_{m \geq 0} (R_{(2^m)}^\# + R_{(2^m 1)}^\#).$$

and the generating series of type B snakes defined in Section 5.2.2 is

$$(149) \quad \mathbf{Y} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{02^n 1} + \tilde{R}_{02^{n+1}}),$$

we easily get one definition of the generating series type D snakes:

$$(150) \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\tilde{R}_{2^n 1} + \tilde{R}_{2^{n+1}}).$$

In other words, our first sort of type D snakes corresponds to permutations of ribbon shape $2^n 1$ or 2^n whose first letter is positive.

Here are these elements for $n \leq 4$:

$$(151) \quad 12, \quad 12\bar{3}, 132, 231, 13\bar{2}, 23\bar{1}$$

$$(152) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{1}2\bar{3}4, 12\bar{4}3, 12\bar{4}\bar{3}, 1324, 13\bar{2}4, 13\bar{4}2, 13\bar{4}\bar{2}, 1423, 14\bar{2}3, 14\bar{3}2, 14\bar{3}\bar{2}, 2314, \\ & 23\bar{1}4, 23\bar{4}1, 23\bar{4}\bar{1}, 2413, 24\bar{1}3, 24\bar{3}1, 24\bar{3}\bar{1}, 3412, 34\bar{1}2, 34\bar{2}1, 34\bar{2}\bar{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since both alternating permutations and snakes of type B can be interpreted as solutions of a differential equation and a fixed point solution involving the same bilinear form, one then concludes that these snakes of type D satisfy

$$(153) \quad d\mathbf{D} = 1 + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{X},$$

and

$$(154) \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{X}).$$

The iteration of (154) brings up a solution close to (68) and (87):

$$(155) \quad \mathbf{D} = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{CBT}} B_T(\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1),$$

where, for a tree T , $B_T(a, b)$ is the result of the evaluation of all expressions formed by labeling by a or b the leaves of T and by B its internal nodes. Note that in this case, the first leaf needs to have label b .

9.1.2. The usual snakes of type D . The previous type D snakes are not satisfactory since, even if they fit into the desired triangle, they do not belong to D_n . The classical snakes of type D of Arnol'd belong to D_n and are easily defined: select among permutations of ribbon shape 12^n and $12^n 1$ the elements with an even number of negative signs and such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 0$.

One then gets the following elements for $n \leq 4$:

$$(156) \quad 1 \quad \bar{1}\bar{2}, \quad \bar{1}\bar{2}3, 1\bar{3}\bar{2}, \bar{1}\bar{3}2, 2\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{2}\bar{3}1,$$

$$(157) \quad \begin{aligned} & 1\bar{2}3\bar{4}, 1\bar{2}4\bar{3}, \bar{1}\bar{2}43, 1\bar{3}2\bar{4}, \bar{1}\bar{3}2\bar{4}, 1\bar{3}4\bar{2}, \bar{1}\bar{3}42, 1\bar{4}2\bar{3}, \bar{1}\bar{4}2\bar{3}, 1\bar{4}3\bar{2}, \bar{1}\bar{4}32, 2\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}, \\ & \bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{1}\bar{4}, 2\bar{3}\bar{4}\bar{1}, \bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}1, 2\bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{3}, \bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{3}, 2\bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{1}, \bar{2}\bar{4}\bar{3}1, 3\bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{2}, \bar{3}\bar{4}\bar{1}\bar{2}, 3\bar{4}2\bar{1}, \bar{3}\bar{4}2\bar{1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to go from these last elements to the other type D snakes: change all values into their opposite and then change the first element s to $-|s|$. Conversely, change (resp. do not change) the sign of the first element depending whether it is not (resp. it is) in D_n and then change all values into their opposite.

10. TABLES

Here follow the tables of the maps $\overline{A} \mapsto tA$ from **BSym** to **Sym**.

All tables represent in columns the image of ribbons indexed by type- B compositions, where the first half begins with a 0 and the other half does not. So, with $N = 3$, compositions are in the following order:

$$(158) \quad [0, 3], [0, 2, 1], [0, 1, 2], [0, 1, 1, 1], [3], [2, 1], [1, 2], [1, 1, 1].$$

Note that the zero entries have been represented by dots to enhance readability.

$$(159) \quad \begin{pmatrix} -t^2 & t^2 & 1 & -1 \\ t^2+t & . & . & t+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^3 & -t^3 & -t^3 & t^3 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -t^3-t^2 & . & t^3+t^2 & . & . & t+1 & . & -t-1 \\ t-t^3 & t^3-t & . & . & . & . & 1-t^2 & t^2-1 \\ t^3+t^2 & t^2+t & . & . & . & . & t^2+t & t+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 1. Matrices of $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ on the S basis for $n = 2, 3$.

$$(160) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & -t^2 & -1 & 1 \\ t & t^2 & 1 & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^3 & -t^3 & -t^3 & t^3 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ t^2 & t^3 & -t^2 & -t^3 & -1 & -t & 1 & t \\ -t & t & t^3 & -t^3 & -1 & 1 & t^2 & -t^2 \\ t & t^2 & t^2 & t^3 & 1 & t & t & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 2. Matrices of $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ on the Λ basis for $n = 2, 3$.

$$(161) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t & t^2 & 1 & t \\ t^2+t & . & . & t+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & t^2 & t^2 & t^3 & 1 & t & t & t^2 \\ . & t^2+t & t^3+t^2 & . & . & t+1 & t^2+t & . \\ t^2+t & t^3+t^2 & . & . & . & . & t+1 & t^2+t \\ t^3+t^2 & t^2+t & . & . & . & . & t^2+t & t+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

FIGURE 3. Matrices of $\tilde{R}_I(A, tA)$ on the R basis for $n = 2, 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ANDRÉ, *Sur les permutations alternées*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **7**, (1881), 167–184.
- [2] V. I. ARNOL'D, *The calculus of snakes and the combinatorics of Bernoulli, Euler, and Springer numbers for Coxeter groups*, Russian Math. Surveys **47** (1992), 1–51.
- [3] A. BJÖRNER, *Orderings of Coxeter groups*, Combinatorics and algebra (1983), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, 175–195.
- [4] C.-O. CHOW, *Noncommutative symmetric functions of type B*, Thesis, MIT, 2001.
- [5] G. DUCHAMP, F. HIVERT, J.-C. NOVELLI, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Noncommutative Symmetric Functions VII: Free Quasi-Symmetric Functions Revisited*, Ann. Comb., to appear. Also preprint arXiv:0809.4479.

- [6] G. DUCHAMP, F. HIVERT, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Noncommutative symmetric functions VI: free quasi-symmetric functions and related algebras*, Internat. J. Alg. Comput. **12** (2002), 671–717.
- [7] R. EHRENBORG and M. READDY, *Sheffer posets and r -signed permutations*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **19** (1995), 173–196.
- [8] I. M. GELFAND, D. KROB, A. LASCoux, B. LECLERC, V. S. RETAKH, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. in Math. **112** (1995), 218–348.
- [9] F. HIVERT, J.-C. NOVELLI, and J.-Y. THIBON, *The algebra of binary search trees*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **339** (2005), 129–165.
- [10] F. HIVERT, J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Trees, functional equations and combinatorial Hopf algebras*, Europ. J. Comb. **29** (1) (2008), 1682–1695.
- [11] M. HOFFMAN, *Derivative polynomials, Euler polynomials, and associated integer sequences*, Elec. J. Comb. **6** (1999), #R21.
- [12] M. JOSUAT-VERGÈS, *Enumeration of snakes and cycle-alternating permutations*, preprint arXiv:1011.0929.
- [13] D. KROB, B. LECLERC, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Noncommutative symmetric functions II: Transformations of alphabets*, Internal J. Alg. Comput. **7** (1997), 181–264.
- [14] I. G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [15] R. MANTACI and C. REUTENAUER, *A generalization of Solomon’s descent algebra for hyperoctahedral groups and wreath products*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 27–56.
- [16] J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Free quasi-symmetric functions and descent algebras for wreath products, and noncommutative multi-symmetric functions*, Disc. Math. **30** (2010), 3584–3606.
- [17] J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Superization and (q, t) -specialization in combinatorial Hopf algebras*, Elec. J. Comb., R21, **16** (2) (2009).
- [18] S. POIRIER, *Cycle type and descent set in wreath products*, Disc. Math., **180** (1998), 315–343.
- [19] C. REUTENAUER, *Free Lie algebras*, Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [20] N. J. A. SLOANE, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (electronic), <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>
- [21] L. SOLOMON, *A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group*, J. Algebra, **41** (1976), 255–268.
- [22] T. A. SPRINGER, *Remarks on a combinatorial problem*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **19** (1971), 30–36.
- [23] R. P. STANLEY, *A survey of alternating permutations*, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics (2010).

FAKÜLTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, GARNISONGASSE 3, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

INSTITUT GASPARD MONGE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, 5 BOULEVARD DESCARTES, CHAMPS-SUR-MARNE, 77454 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE CEDEX 2, FRANCE

E-mail address, Matthieu Josuat-Vergès: Matthieu.Josuat-Verges@univie.ac.at

E-mail address, Jean-Christophe Novelli: novelli@univ-mlv.fr

E-mail address, Jean-Yves Thibon: jyt@univ-mlv.fr